

Message

From: Croxton, David [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=CA7B9940863640D5B96F4295EA3C9641-CROXTON, DAVE]
Sent: 12/5/2018 12:16:52 AM
To: Fullagar, Jill [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=7ba061353c314b40a14a8be1ee382ae3-Gable, Jill]
Subject: one comment on enclosure 1
Attachments: Enclosure 1 EPA Rationale for Action to Add Waters .dc.OR 2012 303d List.docx

HI-

Great stuff! My one suggested edit, pg. 4, paragraph 4. (I've attached in review changes as well, but since just one change maybe easier just to see in email).

After considering public comment and making any revisions deemed appropriate, the EPA is transmitting the listings for Oregon to incorporate into its 303(d) list of impaired waters. There are 999 listings being transmitted to Oregon. Further details about the 999 listings are provided in Enclosure 4: "EPA Final Additions to Oregon's 2012 303(d) List," Enclosure 5: "TMDL Ligation Waters Returning to Category 5," and Enclosure 6: "Waters Not Listed on Oregon's 2012 303(d) List."

The EPA also is approving Oregon's decision not to list marine waters with respect to aquatic life impairments because there are no direct scientific study observations of pteropod shell dissolution within Oregon state waters. On March 29, 2017, ODEQ provided comments and its rationale for why it determined that irrespective of the existing data and information, listing Oregon's jurisdictional ocean waters is unwarranted at this time. See 40 CFR §130.7(b)(6)(iii). The EPA reviewed ODEQ's rationale and determined that no listings are reasonable at this time for the following reasons. Although the correlation between the dissolution of pteropod shells and